IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 2424 of 2005

Between:

Kuruva Bangaramma, W/o. Late Sri Swamy Pravana,
Machanur Village, Halhavari Mandal, Kurnool District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Adoni, Kurnool.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kurnool.
4. Yerragudi Eranna, S/o. Pennaiah,
   Manchanur Village, Halharvi Mandal, Kurnool District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in Rc.No.B87/2003, dt. 30.11.2003 as illegal arbitrary & contrary to the board standing.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.VINAY KAMISETTY

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed questioning the order passed by the third respondent dated 30.11.2003, wherein it was declared that the fourth respondent herein is entitled for the pattedar pass book and title deeds in respect of Ac.10.28 cents of the land situated in Survey No.98-A of Mechanur Village.

2. It is to be noted that aggrieved by the said order passed under the provisions of the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Revenue Divisional Officer, which was dismissed and consequently, the petitioner preferred a Revision Petition before the Collector on 01.02.2005 and the same is pending. The petitioner apprehends that pending the revision petition before the District collector, he may be dispossessed illegally from the land in question. Hence, this Writ Petition.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner raised various contentions assailing the order, dated 30.11.2003 as confirmed by the Revenue Divisional Officer by an order dated 27.03.2004, I am not inclined to express any opinion on merits since admittedly, the Revision Petition is pending before the District Collector. Instead I deem it appropriate to dispose of this Writ Petition with a direction to the District Collector to dispose of the second appeal preferred by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of this order after affording due opportunity to all the parties concern. Till such orders are passed status quo obtained as on today as to the nature and his possession shall be maintained.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

14-02-2005

kvrm

To

1. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Adoni, Kurnool.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kurnool.
4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT)

5. 2 CD copies